

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/606,731	06/26/2003	Kourosh Soroushian	02-6421 1496.00304	5840	
24319 LSI CORPORA	7590 09/26/2007 ATION		EXAMINER		
1621 BARBER LANE MS: D-106 MILPITAS, CA 95035			HUBER, JEREMIAH C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/606,731	SOROUSHIAN, KOUROSH			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jeremiah C. Huber	2621			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{7/2/2}$	<u>007</u> .	•			
'=	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers		•			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 June 2003</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	$\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		ı			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachmer	ut(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	r (PTO-413)			
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boyce et al (5592299).

In regard to claim 1 Boyce discloses a method and apparatus for processing a bitstream (Boyce Figs. 1E, 2 and col. 1 line 46 col. 2 line 50,

receiving a first bitstream that is comprised of a frame picture stream, including intra coded frames, that include alternating macroblock rows, with each row containing a plurality of vertical lines from a single respective field (Boyce Figs. 1E and 2 and col. 2 lines 41-50 and col. 5 lines 37-49 note field DCT coded macroblock in Fig. 1E, also note MPEG digital video inherently includes intra coded frames);

generating first and second field pictures in response to the bitstream (Boyce Figs. 2 and 3 and col. 7 lines 5-15, note first and second fields are generated in response to received bitstream).

generating a second bitstream including the first and second field pictures such that the second bitstream is decodable as interlaced field pictures using an MPEG-2 compliant decoder (Boyce col. 6 lines 61-63 note output is MPEG compliant pars of field pictures).

Art Unit: 2621

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boyce in view of Ng et al (5185819) and Kim et al (5926573).

In regard to claims 2-3 refer to the statements made in the rejection of claim 1 above. Boyce discloses a method for converting a compressed frame into two compressed fields (Boyce Fig. 2 note no decoding). Boyce discloses a memory, or buffer, for storing macroblock rows (Boyce col. 6 lines 8-12). Boyce further discloses selecting alternate macroblock rows to generate a field (Boyce Fig. 3 and col. 8 lines 1-35). It is noted that Boyce does not disclose generating two fields by alternately copying rows into first and second field buffers. However Ng discloses a frame to field conversion apparatus in which image data of top and bottom (odd and even) fields are alternatively decoded and placed into separate field buffers in order to generate odd and even ( top and bottom) fields (Ng col. 9 lines 34 to 64). It is therefore considered obvious that one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize the advantage of combining the top and bottom field generation with associated buffers of Ng, with the compressed frame to compressed field conversion of Boyce in order to speed frame to field conversion by eliminating the need to decode image data prior to conversion.

Boyce further discloses generating picture and slice headers to maintain MPEG compliance (Boyce col. 11 line 56 to col. 12 line 7 note MPEG compliance requires an indication of top or bottom field in a field header). It is further noted that Boyce does not disclose copying and modifying header information. However Kim discloses a an MPEG format conversion method in which various headers are modified and copied into new bitstreams (Kim Fig. 1 and col. 5 line 44 to col. 6 line 63). It is therefore considered obvious to include header copying and modification as taught by Kim in the invention of Boyce in order to speed processing.

In regard to claim 4 refer to the statements made in the rejection of claims 2-3 above. Boyce further discloses adjusting slice numbers (Boyce col. 11 lines 60 to 67 note correct slice vertical position values).

In regard to claim 5-6 refer to the statements made in the rejection of claim 1 above. Ng further discloses writing first and second fields consecutively into a second bitstream (Ng Fig. 1A note fields 1 and 2).

In regard to claims 7-8 refer to the statements made in the rejection of claim 1 above. Ng. further discloses presenting a bitstream to a decoder configured to support a field picture mode (Ng Fig. 4 45). Further, Boyce discloses storing a field based MPEG encoded bitstream in order to perform trick play. It is therefore inherent that the recorded bitstream of Boyce is provided to a decoder configured to support a field picture in order to perform trick play.

In regard to claim 9 refer to the statements made in the rejection of claim 7 above. Ng further discloses presenting even and odd field lines on a display in response

to an input bitstream (Ng col. 9 lines 37-61), and further that the display can be a television (Ng col. 3 lines 17 to 27 note television image reproduction).

In regard to claims 10-20 refer to the statements made in the rejection of claims 1-9 above.

In regard to claims 21-22 refer to the statements made in the rejection of claim 16 above. Kim further discloses writing a sequence header from a first bit stream into a second bitstream, and further discloses modifying portions of the sequence header prior to writing (Kim fig. 1 and col. 5 line 44 to col. 6 line 63 particularly col. 6 lines 12-29).

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/606,731 Page 6

Art Unit: 2621

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremiah C. Huber whose telephone number is (571)272-5248. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on (571)272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MEHRDAD DASTOURI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Jeremiah C Huber